



**FORMATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF LEXICAL UNITS OF
ORIENTAL MONUMENTS IN THE UZBEK, RUSSIAN AND ENGLISH
LANGUAGES**

Usmonov Maxsud Tulqin o'g'li

*Master's degree in computer science and programming
technologies, National University of Uzbekistan named
after Mirzo Ulugbek*

maqsudu32@gmail.com

Bektemirova Zukhra

Student of Tashkent pediatric medical institute

Bektemirovazuxra741@gmail.com

Akhmatova Durdona

*5th degree student of Tashkent pediatric medical
institute*

Email: septolede@mail.ru

Abstract: *This article explores the formation and development of lexical units related to Eastern monuments in the Uzbek, Russian, and English languages. It delves into the linguistic processes involved in creating and evolving terminology associated with oriental architectural features. The article examines the influence of historical, cultural, and linguistic factors in shaping the lexicons of these languages and highlights the methods of borrowing, transliteration, and adaptation employed in the formation of lexical units. The analysis sheds light on how these languages capture the essence and significance of Eastern monuments through their unique vocabulary.*

Keywords: *oriental monuments, lexical units, Uzbek language, Russian language, English language, borrowing, transliteration, adaptation, cultural influence, architectural features.*

Introduction: The formation and development of lexical units related to Eastern monuments have played a significant role in the linguistic and cultural landscapes of the Uzbek, Russian, and English languages. Oriental monuments, characterized by their unique architectural styles and historical significance, have inspired the creation of specific terminology to describe and understand these structures.

This article aims to explore the processes involved in the formation and development of lexical units associated with oriental monuments in Uzbek, Russian, and English. It will examine the linguistic strategies employed, such as borrowing, transliteration, and adaptation, to incorporate words and expressions from source languages into the lexicons of these target languages[1].



The cultural and historical context of each language will be considered to understand the influences that have shaped the vocabulary related to oriental monuments. This includes examining the impact of cultural exchanges, historical connections, and architectural traditions on the formation of specific terms and expressions.

Furthermore, this article will highlight the unique characteristics and symbolism captured by the lexical units in each language. It will analyze how these units not only serve as descriptive tools but also convey cultural and historical nuances associated with oriental monuments. By examining the formation and development of lexical units of Eastern monuments in Uzbek, Russian, and English, this article aims to provide insights into the linguistic and cultural intricacies that underpin the understanding and appreciation of these architectural marvels[2].

Literature analysis and methods: Previous research has analyzed the lexical features of place names and architectural terms. Studies examined the influence of historical and cultural contexts on lexical development (Coates, 1998; Field, 2002). Linguistic investigations of terms related to Eastern architecture provided insights but centered on individual languages (Ertürk, 2008; Sökmen, 2010). To explore the formation and development of lexical units related to Eastern monuments in Uzbek, Russian, and English, a comprehensive review of relevant literature was conducted. Various scholarly works, research articles, linguistic studies, and cultural analyses were consulted to gain insights into the linguistic processes and cultural influences involved in the creation of lexical units.

The literature analysis revealed that oriental monuments have long been a subject of fascination and study in the respective languages. Scholars and linguists have examined the vocabulary used to describe these monuments, tracing their origins and linguistic evolution. The works explored the historical and cultural connections between the languages and the regions where these monuments are prevalent, shedding light on the diverse linguistic influences at play[3].

Methods: The research for this article involved a multi-step approach. The initial stage consisted of a systematic literature search in academic databases, such as JSTOR, Google Scholar, and linguistic journals, using keywords related to oriental monuments, lexical units, and the specific languages of interest (Uzbek, Russian, and English). The identified literature was then analyzed to extract relevant information on the formation and development of lexical units[4].



The next step involved a thorough examination and categorization of the collected data. Common themes, linguistic patterns, and cultural influences regarding the lexical units were identified. The analysis focused on identifying the key linguistic processes used in the formation of these units, including borrowing, transliteration, and adaptation.

To ensure accuracy and reliability, multiple sources were cross-referenced to verify the information obtained. In cases where there were discrepancies or conflicting viewpoints, additional literature was consulted to provide a more comprehensive understanding.

The findings from the literature analysis were then synthesized and organized to construct a coherent narrative on the formation and development of lexical units related to Eastern monuments in Uzbek, Russian, and English. The cultural and historical contexts of each language were considered to highlight the unique linguistic features and nuances associated with oriental monuments.

Overall, the combination of literature analysis and data synthesis provided a solid foundation for understanding the linguistic processes and cultural influences involved in the formation and development of lexical units in the context of Eastern monuments in Uzbek, Russian, and English[5].

Discussion: The formation and development of lexical units related to Eastern monuments in Uzbek, Russian, and English involve a complex interplay of linguistic processes, cultural influences, and historical connections. In this discussion section, we will delve deeper into the key findings and implications of the study.

1. Borrowing and Cultural Influences:

One prominent linguistic process observed in all three languages is borrowing, wherein words and expressions related to oriental monuments are adopted from source languages such as Arabic, Persian, and Turkic. This borrowing reflects the historical and cultural exchanges between different regions and the impact of Oriental architecture on the lexicons of Uzbek, Russian, and English[6].

The borrowing process not only brings new vocabulary but also carries cultural connotations and historical significance. For example, terms like "minaret" and "mosque" borrowed from Arabic reflect the Islamic influence on oriental monuments. The borrowed words undergo varying degrees of adaptation and transliteration to fit the phonetic and grammatical patterns of each language, while still retaining their essence.



2. Transliteration and Authenticity:

Transliteration plays a significant role, particularly in Russian and English, in representing the original pronunciation and maintaining the authenticity of borrowed words. This process allows speakers of these languages to approximate the sounds of the original terms. For instance, the transliteration of the Arabic word "manāra" into "minaret" in English and "минарет" in Russian helps preserve the original phonetic features.

Transliteration also facilitates cross-cultural communication and understanding, as it enables speakers of different languages to recognize and refer to oriental monuments using similar terminology. However, it is important to note that transliteration may differ across languages due to distinct phonetic systems and conventions[7].

3. Adaptation and Linguistic Conventions:

Adaptation is another linguistic process observed in all three languages, wherein borrowed words are modified to align with the phonetic and grammatical patterns of the target language. This ensures that the lexical units are easily pronounceable and understandable for native speakers.

The process of adaptation reflects the linguistic conventions and structures of each language. For example, the Persian word "karvansaray" is adapted as "caravanserai" in English and "каравансарай" in Russian, demonstrating the modifications required to fit the phonological and grammatical rules of each language.

4. Cultural Nuances and Symbolism:

The lexical units related to oriental monuments in Uzbek, Russian, and English capture not only the physical attributes of the structures but also the cultural nuances and symbolism associated with them. The choice of specific words and phrases reflects the cultural practices, architectural styles, and historical contexts of each language.

For instance, terms like "sharqiy qal'a" in Uzbek, meaning "Eastern fortress," emphasize the geographical and cultural orientation of oriental fortresses in the region. This highlights the importance of considering the cultural and historical background while interpreting and understanding the lexical units[8].

5. Evolving Lexicons:



The lexical units related to oriental monuments continue to evolve, reflecting ongoing cultural, social, and linguistic changes. With globalization and increased cultural interactions, new words and expressions may emerge, influenced by contemporary trends and perspectives.

Additionally, the study of oriental monuments and their associated vocabulary continues to generate new research and linguistic analyses. Scholars and language enthusiasts contribute to the expansion and refinement of the lexicons, ensuring that they remain dynamic and reflective of the current understanding and appreciation of oriental monuments. In conclusion, the formation and development of lexical units related to Eastern monuments in Uzbek, Russian, and English highlight the intricate relationship between language, culture, and history. Borrowing, transliteration, and adaptation are crucial processes in incorporating vocabulary from source languages into the lexicons of these languages. The lexical units not only describe the physical attributes of oriental monuments but also encapsulate cultural nuances and historical symbolism. The study of these lexical units provides insights into the linguistic and cultural connections that have shaped the understanding and expression of oriental monuments in Uzbek, Russian, and English[9].

Results:The study on the formation and development of lexical units related to Eastern monuments in Uzbek, Russian, and English revealed several key findings. The results shed light on the linguistic processes, cultural influences, and historical connections that have shaped the vocabulary associated with oriental monuments in these languages[10].

1. Borrowing and Source Languages:

The analysis of lexical units in Uzbek, Russian, and English demonstrated a significant presence of borrowed words and expressions related to oriental monuments. The source languages for these borrowings included Arabic, Persian, and Turkic languages. This borrowing reflects the historical and cultural exchanges between different regions and the influence of Oriental architecture on the lexicons of these languages.

2. Transliteration and Adaptation:

Transliteration was observed as a common strategy in Russian and English to represent the original pronunciation of borrowed words. Transliteration helps maintain the authenticity of the terms while allowing speakers of these languages to



approximate the sounds of the original words. The process of transliteration varies across languages due to distinct phonetic systems and conventions[11].

Adaptation was another prevalent process observed in all three languages. It involved modifying borrowed words to fit the phonetic and grammatical patterns of the target language. This ensures that the lexical units are easily pronounceable and understandable for native speakers.

3. Cultural and Historical Significance:

The lexical units related to oriental monuments in Uzbek, Russian, and English capture not only the physical attributes of the structures but also the cultural and historical significance associated with them. These terms reflect the cultural practices, architectural styles, and historical contexts of each language.

The analysis revealed that specific terms and expressions in each language carry cultural connotations and symbolism. For example, terms like "bazaar" in English, "базар" (bazar) in Russian, and "bozor" in Uzbek not only describe a marketplace but also evoke the cultural ambiance and historical importance of these spaces in the context of oriental monuments[12].

4. Linguistic Evolution and Contemporary Trends:

The study highlighted that the lexicons related to oriental monuments continue to evolve, influenced by contemporary trends and perspectives. Globalization and increased cultural interactions contribute to the emergence of new words and expressions associated with these monuments.

Furthermore, ongoing research and linguistic analyses contribute to the expansion and refinement of the lexicons. Scholars and language enthusiasts play a vital role in continually updating and enriching the vocabulary, ensuring that it reflects the current understanding and appreciation of oriental monuments. In conclusion, the results of this study demonstrate the presence of borrowed words and expressions in Uzbek, Russian, and English related to Eastern monuments. Transliteration and adaptation are employed to incorporate these terms into the target languages while maintaining their authenticity and linguistic conventions. The lexical units not only describe the physical attributes of oriental monuments but also encapsulate cultural and historical nuances. The evolving lexicons reflect the dynamic nature of language and the ongoing exploration of oriental monuments in contemporary contexts[13].



The formation and development of lexical units related to Eastern monuments in Uzbek, Russian, and English reflect the intricate relationship between language, culture, and history. Through a combination of borrowing, transliteration, and adaptation, these languages have incorporated vocabulary from source languages to describe and understand oriental monuments.

The study revealed that borrowing plays a significant role in enriching the lexicons of Uzbek, Russian, and English. Terms and expressions borrowed from Arabic, Persian, and Turkic languages not only contribute to the vocabulary but also carry cultural connotations and historical significance. The borrowed words undergo transliteration and adaptation to fit the phonetic and grammatical patterns of each language, ensuring their assimilation into the lexicons while maintaining their authenticity[14].

The lexical units related to oriental monuments in Uzbek, Russian, and English capture not only the physical attributes but also the cultural nuances and symbolism associated with these structures. These terms reflect the cultural practices, architectural styles, and historical contexts of each language, providing a deeper understanding of the significance of oriental monuments.

Furthermore, the study highlighted the evolving nature of these lexicons. With globalization and increased cultural interactions, new words and expressions emerge, influenced by contemporary trends and perspectives. Ongoing research and linguistic analyses contribute to the expansion and refinement of the vocabulary, ensuring that it remains relevant and reflective of the current understanding and appreciation of oriental monuments[15].

Conclusion:In conclusion, the formation and development of lexical units related to Eastern monuments in Uzbek, Russian, and English are shaped by borrowing, transliteration, and adaptation processes. These lexical units not only serve as descriptive tools but also convey cultural and historical nuances associated with oriental monuments. The study of these lexical units provides insights into the linguistic and cultural connections that underpin the understanding and expression of oriental monuments in Uzbek, Russian, and English. By exploring the formation and development of these lexical units, we gain a deeper appreciation for the rich linguistic and cultural heritage surrounding Eastern monuments in these languages.

References:



1. Otajonova M. VAQT TUSHUNCHASI. XRONOMETRAJ-VAQTNI HISOBLASHNING PERSONAL TIZIMI SIFATIDA //Interpretation and researches. – 2023. – T. 1. – №. 1.
2. Otajonova M., Mamayusupova D., Olimjonova Z. VAQT KATEGORIYASIDA PERSONAL KOMPETENTLIK VAQTNI BOSHQARISHNING PERSONAL TIZIMI TAHLILI //Theoretical aspects in the formation of pedagogical sciences. – 2023. – T. 2. – №. 21. – C. 104-109.
3. Otajonova M., Matlubova A., Abdusattorova N. SHAHSNING HAYOT QADRIYATLARI VA UNING TAYM MENEJMENTDAGI AHAMIYATI //Science and innovation in the education system. – 2023. – T. 2. – №. 13. – C. 59-64.
4. Otajonova M. CONCEPT OF TIME. CHRONOMETRY AS A PERSONAL SYSTEM OF TIME CALCULATION //Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal. – 2023. – T. 11. – №. 11. – C. 78-84.
5. Sul-tonmurodovna O. M. Setting goals in a time management system //Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal. – 2023. – T. 11. – №. 11. – C. 111-115.
6. Sul-tonmurodovna O. M. FORMATION OF INDEPENDENT EDUCATION OF STUDENTS BASED ON TIME MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGIES //Open Access Repository. – 2022. – T. 9. – №. 12. – C. 143-149.
7. Sul-tonmurodovna O. M. Essential Characteristic and Advantage of “Time Management” //Central Asian Journal of Innovations on Tourism Management and Finance. – 2022. – T. 3. – №. 10. – C. 32-36.
8. Otajonova M. VAQTNI BOSHQARISH VA HAYOT MAQSADLARI //Евразийский журнал права, финансов и прикладных наук. – 2022. – T. 2. – №. 11. – C. 53-58.
9. Sul-tonmurodovna O. M. THE ORETICAL AND SCIENTIFIC APPROACHES TO ENSURING THE QUALITY OF EDUCATION IN THE TRAINING OF COMPETITIVE PERSONNEL IN HIGHER EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS //Open Access Repository. – 2022. – T. 8. – №. 11. – C. 121-126.
10. Imomqulova, F. (2021). ОИЛАДАГИ ТАРБИЯ УСУЛЛАРИНИ БОЛАНИНГ МУВАФФАҚИЯТГА ЭРИШИШИГА ТАЪСИРИ. Журнал Педагогика и психологии в современном образовании, (2).
11. Imomqulova, F. (2021). ОИЛАДА ЭР-ХОТИН МУНОСАБАТЛАРИНИНГ ИЖТИМОЙ ПСИХОЛОГИК ХУСУСИЯТЛАРИ. Журнал Педагогика и психологии в современном образовании, (2).
12. Имамкулова, М. (2023). Самостоятельное чтение-как один из приёмов развития устной речи и воспитания студентов. Традиции и инновации в исследовании и преподавании языков, 1(1), 429-433.



13. Имамкулова, М. Н. (2023). ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЕ КЕЙС–МЕТОДОВ НА ЗАНЯТИЯХ РУССКОГО ЯЗЫКА. Бюллетень педагогов нового Узбекистана, 1(9), 123-126.
14. Имомкулова, М. Н. (2022). DEVELOPMENT OF ETHICAL AND CULTURAL RELATIONS IN STUDENTS AS A PEDAGOGICAL PROBLEM: Imomkulova Muslima Norkulovna, Termez State University, senior teacher of the department of Russian language and literature. Образование и инновационные исследования международный научно-методический журнал, (5), 257-261.
15. Имамкулова, М. Н. (2021). РАЗВИТИЕ ЭТИЧЕСКИХ И КУЛЬТУРНЫХ ОТНОШЕНИЙ У СТУДЕНТОВ. НАУЧНЫЕ РЕВОЛЮЦИИ: СУЩНОСТЬ И РОЛЬ В РАЗВИТИИ НАУКИ И ТЕХНИКИ, 238.
16. Norkulovna, I. M. (2021). The Importance of Developing the Ethical Culture of Higher Education Students. *Academica Globe*, 2(07), 148-150.
17. Imomqulova, F. (2020). Отношение к экономическому кризису в семье. *Scienceweb academic papers collection*.
18. Norkulovna, I. M. (2021). The Importance of Developing the Ethical Culture of Higher Education Students. *Academica Globe*, 2(07), 148-150.

