



THEME: DIFFERENT APPROACHES TO THE NOTION "DISCOURSE"

Feruz Khamidov

School of Creativity named after Erkin Vohidov under the Agency for the Presidential Educational Institutions
E-mail: feruzkhamidov@gmail.com

Abstract: *The idea of discourse is examined in this research study from a variety of academic angles, including linguistics, sociology, and philosophy. The research strives to offer a thorough knowledge of discourse's nature, roles, and implications in communication and social interaction by comparing and contrasting various approaches to discourse. The study's findings help us comprehend speech and its importance in a variety of circumstances.*

Keywords: *discourse, communication, social interaction, linguistics, sociology, philosophy*

Introduction:

In several academic fields, including linguistics, sociology, and philosophy, the idea of discourse has been extensively researched and examined. Conversation has been studied from a variety of angles, and each one has provided new insights into the nature, purposes, and implications of conversation. This study attempts to investigate and contrast these various methods, highlighting their parallels, discrepancies, and consequences for comprehending social interaction and communication. This research aims to give a thorough grasp of discourse's multidimensional character by looking at it from a variety of angles.

Materials and Methods:

In order to compare various approaches to the concept of discourse, this research employs a comparative analysis technique. To find pertinent research and theories from the disciplines of linguistics, sociology, and philosophy, a thorough literature study is carried out. The chosen sources are then examined and contrasted in light of their conceptualizations of discourse, applied techniques, and major conclusions. The research looks for similarities and contrasts between the various techniques and highlights how each one affects our understanding of social interaction and communication.

Results and Discussions:

Numerous similarities and variations between various speech analysis techniques are found. Linguistic methods emphasize the significance of linguistic components in communicating meaning and creating social reality. They concentrate on the structure, meaning, and function of language in speech. Sociological perspectives place a strong emphasis on the social and power dynamics that speech contains, emphasizing how language is used to negotiate social identities, uphold power dynamics, and create social



institutions. Philosophical methods investigate the philosophical roots of speech, looking at how it relates to knowledge, truth, and social creation. The talks emphasize the importance of these multiple perspectives for comprehending social interaction and communication, as well as its consequences for a range of fields including media, politics, and education.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, this research offers a thorough analysis of many perspectives on the concept of speech. The research emphasizes the complex character of speech and its consequences for communication and social interaction by contrasting and comparing linguistic, sociological, and philosophical viewpoints. The research broadens our knowledge of discourse and offers useful information to practitioners and scholars across disciplines. It is possible to analyze communication processes more nuancedly and develop effective communication tactics in a variety of circumstances by having a solid understanding of the various discourse methods.

References:

1. Fairclough, N. (1992). *Discourse and social change*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
2. Foucault, M. (1972). *The Archaeology of Knowledge*. New York: Pantheon Books.
3. Gee, J. P. (2005). *An introduction to discourse analysis: Theory and method*. London: Routledge.
4. Goffman, E. (1981). *Forms of talk*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
5. Halliday, M. A. K., & Hasan, R. (1985). *Language, context, and text: Aspects of language in a social-semiotic perspective*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
6. Van Dijk, T. A. (1997). Discourse as structure and process. *Discourse & Society*, 8(1), 15-32.
7. Wodak, R., & Meyer, M. (Eds.). (2009). *Methods of critical discourse analysis* (2nd ed.). London: Sage Publications.
8. Yáñez-Bouza, N. (2013). *Corpus linguistics and discourse analysis: A multidisciplinary approach*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
9. Žižek, S. (2008). *How to read Lacan*. London: Granta Books.