



## METHODS OF TEACHING ENGLISH

**Sevinch Abdumalikova Tayirovna**

*3rd year student of the Faculty of  
English Philology of the Uzbekistan  
State University of World Languages  
sevinchabdumalikova03@gmail.com*

**Usmonov Maxsud Tulqin o'g'li**

*National University of Uzbekistan  
named after Mirzo Ulugbek, Master's  
student of the 2nd stage of Computer  
Science and Programming  
Technologies  
maqsudu32@gmail.com*

**Abstract.** *The tradition of language teaching has been subjected to tremendous changes, throughout the 20th century. In the process of teaching and learning a method of teaching is very important. A good teacher is always in search of an effective method of teaching and a good method of teaching results in good learning. It is the duty of the language teacher to understand the various methods and techniques to apply for different learners in different contexts.*

**Key words:** *language, methods, tools, effective methods, techniques, contexts.*

**INTRODUCTION.** Effective teaching methods are essential tools that can help students achieve success in the class room. Method of teaching is always depends on the aim of teaching English. Suppose the teacher is teaching arithmetic to the students, he should enable the students to apply that knowledge in his day to day life situations. So the teacher of English should also teach English with specific objective in mind.

**METHODOLOGY AND DISCUSSION.** The word method means different things to different people. According to W.F.Mackey, A method determines what and how much is taught?(selection) the order in which it is taught(gradation) how the meaning and form are conveyed (presentation)and what is done to make the use of the language unconscious(repetition) Thus a method deals with four things with Selection gradation Presentation and Repetition. The term Method is sometimes compared with the term Approach. According to Yardi Method is rigid while Approach is flexible. Pointing out the different views often held in less informed circle of teachers about the importance of method. Hefurther asserts: What matters is the man (the teacher) not the method. Yardi further explains the connotational difference between the terms method methodology, and methodics. These are often used in English language teaching pedagogy. Each one of them carries a different shade of meaning. Method, in his opinion is used in the context of language- teaching methods like Direct Method, The grammar- translation Method or The Bilingual Method. Yardi further went on to add



that the term method is not strictly speaking a technical term. It is a popular one, and means a way of doing something. It is often used loosely as a substitute for methodology. Methodology, according to Yardi, is a technical term which refers to a body of principles and techniques of teaching. The following are the major methods of teaching English that have been tried and tested with its merits and demerits. Translation Method: The translation method is better known as the Grammar-Translation Method. It is also called the classical method of teaching English. In this method while teaching a lesson the teacher translates every word, sentence and phrase into mother tongue of a student. The students also required to translate the sentences from their mother tongue into English based on grammar.

**Advantages:** This method emphasizes the study of grammar. This method suits average and below average teacher. It enables students to develop their vocabulary. This method is economical. There is no need of teaching aids. Communication between the teacher and student does not cause linguist problem. This method is useful in overcrowded class rooms.

**Disadvantages:** It lays more emphasis on grammar speaking skills are completely ignored. This method does not teach correct articulation and intonation. In this method exact translation is not possible. As it encourages literal translation actual meaning is diverted. As Tickoo said: This method of Teaching and learning primarily aimed at the ability to read full texts rather than to communicate orally in everyday situations. This method makes no provision for training in speech but lays stress on reading. Criticizing this method, Rouse remarks that the aim of this method was to know everything about something, rather than the thing itself. Students found the method frustrating as they had to memorize words and rules. The excessive obsession with accuracy and competence in written rather than oral language inhibited learners who often preferred to remain silent rather than expose their ignorance.

**Direct Method:** This method was developed, as Rao has pointed out, as a reaction against the grammar-translation method. In the opinion of Diller this method has one basic rule: no translation is allowed. The main philosophy behind this method is that the learner learns a foreign language in the same way as he learns his mother tongue. According to Websters New International Dictionary, Direst method is a method of teaching a foreign language, especially a modern language through conversation, discussion, and reading in the language itself, without the use of peoples language without translation and without the study of formal grammar.

**Advantages:** This method lays more emphasis on oral work. That ensures good pronunciation to the learners. It helps the students to have good command over the language. It is an interesting method as it involves many activities like speaking,



listening understanding ,is developed simultaneously through this method. According to Bhatia and Bhatia, the main aim of teaching English by this method is to enable the learner to think in English and to discourage the practice of inwardly thinking in ones and then overtly translating the thought into the foreign language. He should be able to grasp what he hears or reads in English and should be able to express his thoughts and wishes directly and fluently so that in due course of time he obtains a real command over the language.

**Disadvantages:** This method needs competent teachers. If teacher is not competent this method is not successful. This method is not suitable for schools in rural areas . Regional language school children cant follow direct method. It is an expensive method as it requires lot of teaching tools and audio visual aids otherwise the learner cant follow this method. This method promotes oral skills but ignores writing and reading skills. Sometimes the teacher fails to convey the exact meaning because mother tongue is not allowed.

**Audio lingual method:** The roots of the Audio lingual method can be traced back to the language teaching programmes devised in America during the Second World War. The audio lingual is based on the theory of learning Language learning formulated by the behaviorists.

**Advantages:** Speaking and listening skills are better trained. It drills students in the use of grammatical patterns, such as correct grammar and articulation so there is no chance of mistakes. Dr. Sharada Bhat has recorded the main strengths of this method as follows: the teaching materials are more scientifically and systematically prepared than the one-author texts; it teaches a language in a graded manner; the motivation of the students is of a higher degree; the students enjoy learning the target language because the teaching materials are specially designed to interest the students avoiding boring passages from the classes.

**Disadvantages:** This method is based on repetition rather than creativity in language learning so pupils turn into parrots to reproduce the same. It is expensive and teacher centric and not successful in the absence of well trained qualified resourceful teacher. It is oral based approach Speaking and listening skills are better trained writing skills ignored.

**The Bilingual Method:** Bilingual Method means a method where two languages. the mother tongue and target language are used. In translation method the mother tongue is used by the student as well as teacher. In direct method nobody is allowed to use mother tongue but in bilingual method there is a freedom to use mother tongue where the situation demands.





**Advantages:** The teacher teaches English to the entire satisfaction of the learners. The students are able to understand English well. Judicious use of mother tongue by the teacher does not spoil the environments of teaching English. It only helps in teaching English. It helps in giving proper training for different skills, listening, speaking, reading, and writing. Sometimes the use of mother tongue saves a lot of time. Otherwise the teacher has to use many devices to make the student understand the target language. In the words of Dr. H.N.L. Sastry this method is simple from the point of view of teaching and learning. That is why we see majority of teachers in Indian schools follow this method. The method increases the rate and amount of learning in the classroom and it creates better attitudes in the minds of students towards learning English. It also establishes rapport between the teacher and the taught.

**Disadvantages:** A teacher of English may not be good in both the languages. The use of mother tongue while teaching English spoils the continuity and fluency of language. A few students in the class may be more attentive to mother tongue sound and less attentive to English sounds. Their pronunciation may become defective and lead to mother tongue influence.

**CONCLUSION.** Having discussed the various methods of teaching English we can come to conclusion that there is no one good method that is perfect in every respect. Every method has its own merits and demerits. The following observation by V. Saraswathi is very important to quote in this connection. She says: There is no best method. What works with one learner may not work with another. One may be a wizard in grammar but another may just hate it. Others might enjoy memorizing sentences. Different methods may be appropriate to different contexts. If we start searching for the perfect method or the ideal single solution to the problem of language learning, we bound to fail. Teaching method is a key factor to determine the success of student. But it is not always possible to apply the same methodology to all learners who have different objectives and learning needs. A teacher should know the merits and demerits of each method that he is applying. For ex: Grammar translation is the method of choice when student goal is to achieve high level of writing and reading. Direct method is a method of choice to achieve fluency and bilingual method ensures accessibility. A teacher should consider the following factors while making choice of his method.

### Reference:

1. Kevin D. Besnoy, Lane W. Clarke, High-Tech Teaching Success! A Step-by-Step Guide to Using Innovative Technology in Your Classroom, Prufrock Press, Inc. October 1, 2009
2. Lynne T. Diaz-Rico, Teaching English Learners: Strategies and Methods Marlene D. LeFever, Creative Teaching Methods, Cook Ministry Resources; March 1, 1997



3. Edgar H. Schuster, Edgar H. Schuster, *Breaking the Rules: Liberating Writers Through Innovative Grammar Instruction*, Heinemann; February 13, 2003
4. Nicholas McGuinn, David Stevens, *The Art of Teaching Secondary English: Innovative and Creative Approaches*, Routledge; August 7, 2004
5. Paul Nation, *New Ways in Teaching Vocabulary (New Ways in Tesol Series: Innovative Classroom Techniques)*; TESOL, January 1, 1995
6. R. Patrick Solomon, Dia N. R. Sekayi, *Urban Teacher Education and Teaching: Innovative Practices for Diversity and Social Justice*, Routledge; March 30, 2007
7. Patrick Schwarz, Paula Kluth *You're Welcome: 30 Innovative Ideas for the Inclusive Classroom*; Heinemann, August 17, 2007
8. Constance Leuenberger, *The New Kindergarten: Teaching Reading, Writing, & More*, Publisher: Teaching Resources, August 11, 2003
9. Judith S. Gould, Evan Jay Gould, Judy Mitchell, Mary Rojas, *Four Square Writing Method: A Unique Approach to Teaching Basic Writing Skills for Grades*
10. Martha Bradshaw, Arlene Lowenstein, *Innovative Teaching Strategies in Nursing and Related Health Professions*; Jones & Bartlett; March 8, 2010
11. Susan Van Zile, *Awesome Hands-on Activities for Teaching Grammar*, Teaching Resources; December 1, 2003
12. Avezov, B. (2021). Use Of Elements Of Drawing In Teaching Technology At School. *Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education (TURCOMAT)*, 12(11), 6349-6352.
13. Pirniyazova, M. (2023, October). **METHODOLOGICAL ESSENCE OF DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS'ENTREPRENEURSHIP COMPETENCIES BASED ON VITAGEN EXPERIENCE**. In *International Scientific and Current Research Conferences* (pp. 38-40).
14. Anarkulova, G. M., Mukhamedsaidov, B. K., & Pirniyazova, M. K. (2023). Modern Methods of Assessing Knowledge, Skills and Abilities of Students as a Means of Stimulating Learning. *Telematique*, 22(01), 2617-2624.
15. Kenesbayevna, P. M. (2023). **TALABALARDA TADBIRKORLIK KOMPYeNSIYaLARINI RIVOJLANTIRISHNING XORIJIY TAJRIBALARI**: Pirniyazova Malika Kenesbayevna, Nizomiy nomidagi TDPU tadqiqotchisi. *Образование и инновационные исследования международный научно-методический журнал*, (2), 157-161.
16. Собирова, Д. А., & Саидназарова, Г. (2014). Социальный интеллект как инструмент коммуникативного и организационного взаимодействия. *Вестник по педагогике и психологии Южной Сибири*, (2), 59-65.
17. Саидназарова, Г. Б. (2019). Навык педагогического влияния. *Вестник магистратуры*, (4-3 (91)), 80-81.
18. Саидназарова, Г. Б. К. (2021). **ИННОВАЦИОННАЯ ДЕЯТЕЛЬНОСТЬ СОВРЕМЕННОГО ПЕДАГОГА**. *Вопросы науки и образования*, (10 (135)), 37-40.





19. Саидназарова, Г. (2023). AL-BUXORIYNING MA'NAViy-AXLOQiy QARASHLARINI O'RGANISH PEDAGOGIK MUAMMO SIFATIDA. Ижтимоий-гуманитар фанларнинг долзарб муаммолари/Актуальные проблемы социально-гуманитарных наук/Actual Problems of Humanities and Social Sciences., 3(S/8).
20. Ergashev, N., & G'aniyeva, G. (2023). RESEARCH HYDRAULIC RESISTANCE OF WET CLEANING DEVICE OF DUST GASES. Scientific progress, 4(1), 358-368.
21. G'aniyeva, G. (2023). BOSHLANG 'ICH SINFLARDA TABIIY FANLARDAN SINFDAN TASHQARI ISHLARNI TAKOMILLASHTIRISHDA MEDIATA'LIMNING O'RNI. Евразийский журнал социальных наук, философии и культуры, 3(9), 18-22.
22. G'aniyeva, G. (2023). BOSHLANG 'ICH SINFLARDA TABIIY FANLARDAN SINFDAN TASHQARI ISHLARNI TASHKIL ETISHDA VIRTUAL VOSITALARDAN FOYDALANISH. Молодые ученые, 1(18), 34-36.
23. G'aniyeva, G. (2023). BOSHLANG 'ICH SINFLARDA TABIIY FANLAR BILAN INTEGRATSIYALASHGAN MEDIATA'LIMNING TUZILISHI. Евразийский журнал медицинских и естественных наук, 3(9), 7-12.
24. G'aniyeva, G., & Raimnazarova, G. (2024). BOSHLANG 'ICH SINF O 'QUVCHILARINING IQ DARAJASINI OSHIRISHDA MENTAL ARIFMETIKANING AHAMIYATI. Молодые ученые, 2(7), 84-86.