



## THE WAYS OF ASSESSING SPEAKING

**Kalmuratova Inkar Maksetovna**

*Trainee-teacher of the department "English language and literature", Karakalpak State University*

**Abstract.** *Assessing speaking skills is a crucial aspect of language learning and communication. While reading and writing skills are often easier to assess through traditional methods, evaluating speaking proficiency presents unique challenges and complexities. In this article, it will be explored the various ways of assessing speaking, including different types of assessments, criteria for evaluation, the role of technology in assessments, and classroom strategies for effectively measuring speaking skills. By understanding and implementing these assessment methods, teachers can better support their students in developing their speaking abilities and enhancing their overall language proficiency.*

**Keywords:** *speaking skills, language classroom, assessment, challenges, authenticity, bias, criteria, proficiency levels, language anxiety.*

Assessing speaking skills can be done through a variety of methods, each offering unique insights into a student's proficiency. Some common types of assessments for speaking include:

1. **Informal assessments:** Informal assessments of speaking skills can include classroom discussions, small group conversations, and impromptu speaking activities. These provide a more relaxed and natural setting for students to demonstrate their speaking abilities, and can also help teachers gauge fluency and communication skills in a more authentic context.

2. **Formal assessments:** Formal assessments for speaking may include oral exams, presentations, debates, or speeches. These structured assessments allow students to demonstrate their speaking abilities in a more controlled environment, often with specific criteria for evaluation. Formal assessments can provide a more comprehensive evaluation of a student's speaking proficiency.

3. **Self-assessments:** Self-assessment activities involve students evaluating their own speaking skills based on predefined criteria. This encourages students to reflect on their own strengths and weaknesses in speaking, and can help them set goals for improvement. Self-assessments can also promote student autonomy and self-directed learning.

4. **Peer assessments:** Peer assessments involve students evaluating each other's speaking skills based on predetermined criteria. This not only provides additional perspectives on a student's speaking abilities but also encourages peer feedback and collaboration. Peer assessments can help students develop their speaking skills through constructive criticism and peer support.



5. Performance assessments: Performance-based assessments for speaking may involve tasks such as role-plays, simulations, or real-life communication scenarios. These assessments focus on students' ability to effectively communicate and interact in different situations, and can provide a more authentic evaluation of their speaking proficiency.

By utilizing a combination of these assessment methods, teachers can gain a comprehensive understanding of their students' speaking skills and provide targeted feedback and support for improvement. Each type of assessment offers unique benefits and insights into a student's speaking abilities, contributing to a more holistic evaluation of their language proficiency.

When assessing speaking skills in the classroom, there are several challenges and considerations that educators should keep in mind:

1. Authenticity: One of the main challenges in assessing speaking skills is creating opportunities for authentic communication. Simulated speaking tasks, such as role-plays or scripted dialogues, may not always reflect real-world communication situations. Educators should strive to provide authentic speaking tasks that allow students to demonstrate their ability to communicate naturally and fluently.

2. Bias and subjectivity: Assessing speaking skills can be subjective, as it often relies on the judgment and interpretation of the evaluator. Educators should be aware of their own biases and ensure that assessment criteria are clear, objective, and consistent to minimize the impact of subjectivity on students' grades.

3. Assessment criteria: Developing effective assessment criteria for speaking skills can be challenging. Educators need to consider a range of factors, such as pronunciation, fluency, vocabulary use, grammar accuracy, and coherence. It is important to define clear and specific criteria that align with learning objectives and provide students with a roadmap for successful performance.

4. Time constraints: Assessing speaking skills can be time-consuming, especially in larger classes with limited time available for individualized assessments. Educators should plan speaking assessments strategically and consider incorporating group assessments or peer evaluations to maximize efficiency while still providing valuable feedback to students.

5. Different proficiency levels: Students may have varying levels of proficiency in speaking, making it challenging to assess them fairly and accurately. Educators should consider adapting assessment tasks to students' proficiency levels, providing support for struggling learners, and challenging advanced students with more complex speaking tasks.





6. Language anxiety: Speaking assessments can trigger language anxiety in students, particularly those who are less confident in their speaking abilities. Educators should create a supportive and encouraging environment for speaking assessments, offer constructive feedback, and provide opportunities for students to practice and improve their speaking skills in a low-pressure setting.

7. Technology limitations: Technology-based assessment tools and platforms may have limitations in assessing speaking skills, such as difficulty in capturing nuances of pronunciation or non-verbal cues. Educators should carefully select and integrate technology tools that effectively support speaking assessments while considering their limitations and potential impact on assessment validity.

By addressing these challenges and considerations, educators can design effective and meaningful assessments of students' speaking skills, providing valuable feedback to support their ongoing development and proficiency in oral communication. It is essential to be proactive in overcoming challenges and implementing best practices to ensure fair, accurate, and comprehensive assessments of students' speaking abilities in the language classroom.

In conclusion, assessing speaking skills in the language classroom presents a variety of challenges and considerations that educators need to address in order to effectively evaluate students' oral communication abilities. By prioritizing authenticity, minimizing bias and subjectivity, defining clear assessment criteria, managing time constraints, accommodating different proficiency levels, addressing language anxiety, and navigating technology limitations, educators can design valid and reliable assessments that accurately measure students' speaking proficiency.

### References:

1. Inkar, K., & Kamola, M. (2022). THE VALIDITY OF SPEAKING TESTS. *Journal of new century innovations*, 18(5), 199-202.
2. Kalmuratova, A., & Kalmuratova, I. (2023). THE IMPORTANCE OF VALIDATION SYSTEM IN SPEAKING TESTS. *Евразийский журнал академических исследований*, 3(3 Part 3), 62-64.
3. Kalmuratova, I., & Arepov, J. (2023). QARAQALPAQ HÁM INGLIS TILLERINDE ATLIQTÍN KÓPLIK KATEGORIYASÍNÍN AÑLATÍLÍW ÓZGESHELIKLERI. *Бюллетень педагогов нового Узбекистана*, 1(12), 11-13.
4. Kalmuratov, M., Baynazarov, A., & Maysanova, A. (2023). METHOD OF INSTALLING A WIRELESS NETWORK Wi-Fi CONNECTION. *American Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, 3(05), 46-50.



5. Hasanovna, D. M. (2023). ON THE TYPES OF RESULTS STRUCTURES EXPRESSED BY A SECONDARY PREDICAT. *International Journal Of Literature And Languages*, 3(03), 52-58.
6. Hasanovna, D. M. (2022). ASPECTUAL AND LEXICAL-SEMANTIC CLASSIFICATION OF VERB NOVATEUR PUBLICATIONS *JournalNX-A Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal* ISSN No: 2581-4230. ISSUE, 2, 25.
7. Ширинова, Н. Д., & Давлатова, М. Х. МОРФОЛОГИЧЕСКИЙ СПОСОБ РАЗГРАНИЧЕНИЯ ЗНАЧЕНИЙ ПРЕДМЕТНОСТИ И КАЧЕСТВЕННОСТИ В СИСТЕМЕ ЯЗЫКА. *Muassis: Buxoro davlat universiteti TAHRIRIYAT: Muharrirlar: MQ Abuzalova MA Bokareva NN Voxidova*, 40.
8. Давлатова, М. (2023). Typology of expressive emotional relations in linguistics. *Современные тенденции при обучении иностранному языку в XXI веке*, 1(1), 172-178.
9. Hasanovna, D. M. (2021). Semantic Implementation of resultutive structures. *novateur publications JournalNX- A Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal*.
10. Hasanovna, D. M. (2023). Occurrence of English Verb Predicates in the Functional-Semantic Field. *American Journal of Language, Literacy and Learning in STEM Education* (2993-2769), 1(9), 518-524.
11. Khasanovna, D. M. (2023). THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF PREDICATIVE CONSTRUCTIONS (In the example of the English language). *Academia Repository*, 4(10), 225-232.
12. Kalmuratov, M., & Dauletmuratova, R. (2023, June). THE IMPLEMENTATION OF INNOVATIVE EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGIES IN UZBEKISTAN. In *Academic International Conference on Multi-Disciplinary Studies and Education* (Vol. 1, No. 12, pp. 29-30).
13. Makhsetovna, K. I., & Shamuratovna, K. A. (2023). TYPES OF VALIDITY IN SPEAKING TESTS. *American Journal Of Philological Sciences*, 3(03), 18-21.
14. Калмуратова, И. (2023). The role of rubrics and checklists in validation of speaking skill. *Ренессанс в парадигме новаций образования и технологий в XXI веке*, 1(1), 384–386. <https://doi.org/10.47689/XXIA-TTIPR-vol1-iss1-pp384-386>