



ENGLISH NEOLOGISMS-BORROWINGS IN RUSSIAN AND UZBEK LANGUAGES

Usmonov Maxsud Tulqin o'g'li

Master's degree in computer science and programming technologies, National University of Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek
maqsudu32@gmail.com

Bektemirova Zukhra

Student of Tashkent pediatric medical institute
Bektemirovazuxra741@gmail.com

Akhmatova Durdona

5th degree student of Tashkent pediatric medical institute
Email: septolede@mail.ru

Abstract: *This article explores the phenomenon of English neologisms and borrowings in the Russian and Uzbek languages. It examines the linguistic, sociolinguistic, and cultural implications of the increasing presence of English elements in these languages. The study highlights the processes of semantic adaptation, grammatical integration, and hybridization that occur when English words are borrowed into Russian and Uzbek. It also discusses the influence of technology, popular culture, and language contact effects on the adoption of English borrowings. Additionally, the article addresses language attitudes, translation challenges, and the role of language planning and policy in managing the influx of English borrowings. Overall, the research provides insights into the dynamic nature of language evolution and the complex interplay between English and the Russian and Uzbek languages.*

Keywords: *English neologisms, English borrowings, Russian language, Uzbek language, semantic adaptation, grammatical integration, hybridization, technology, popular culture, language contact, language attitudes, translation challenges, language planning, language policy.*

Аннотация: *В данной статье исследуется феномен английских неологизмов и заимствований в русском и узбекском языках. В нем исследуются лингвистические, социолингвистические и культурные последствия растущего присутствия английских элементов в этих языках. В исследовании освещаются процессы семантической адаптации, грамматической интеграции и гибридизации, происходящие при заимствовании английских слов в русский и узбекский языки. В нем также обсуждается влияние технологий, популярной культуры и языковых контактов на принятие английских заимствований. Кроме того, в статье рассматриваются языковые отношения, проблемы перевода, а также роль языкового планирования и политики в управлении притоком английских заимствований. В целом, исследование дает представление о динамическом характере языковой эволюции и сложном взаимодействии между английским, русским и узбекским языками.*

Ключевые слова: *английские неологизмы, английские заимствования, русский язык, узбекский язык, семантическая адаптация, грамматическая интеграция, гибридизация,*



технология, массовая культура, языковой контакт, языковые установки, проблемы перевода, языковое планирование, языковая политика.

Introduction: In today's globalized world, languages are constantly evolving and adapting to the changing needs of communication. One prominent aspect of this linguistic evolution is the increasing presence of English neologisms and borrowings in languages around the world. The Russian and Uzbek languages are no exception, as they have witnessed a significant influx of English elements in recent years.

This article aims to examine the phenomenon of English neologisms and borrowings in the Russian and Uzbek languages, shedding light on the linguistic, sociolinguistic, and cultural implications of this linguistic contact. The adoption of English terms in these languages reflects the influence of English as a dominant global language and its widespread use in various domains, including technology, popular culture, and business[1].

Throughout the article, we will explore the processes of semantic adaptation and grammatical integration that occur when English words are borrowed into Russian and Uzbek. We will also delve into the phenomenon of hybridization, where English and native language elements are combined to form new linguistic expressions.

Moreover, this study will investigate the influence of technology and popular culture on the adoption of English borrowings, as well as the effects of language contact on the structure and usage of the Russian and Uzbek languages. We will consider the sociolinguistic aspects of language attitudes towards borrowings and the challenges faced by translators in rendering these borrowings into the target languages[2].

Furthermore, the article will touch upon the role of language planning and policy in managing the influx of English borrowings, as language purists and language planning institutions grapple with the tension between preserving linguistic purity and embracing linguistic diversity. By examining the phenomenon of English neologisms and borrowings in the Russian and Uzbek languages, this research aims to contribute to our understanding of the dynamic nature of language evolution, the cultural implications of language contact, and the complex interplay between English and these two languages.

Literature review:

1. Literature Analysis:

Research on lexical borrowing as a mechanism of language change has a long tradition. Early studies analyzed loanword adoption processes between European



languages (Haugen, 1950). Subsequent work evaluated borrowing trends involving English into other languages like Russian (Apresjan, 1974) and Uzbek (Rakhmatova, 1980). Recent literature examines the sociocultural factors influencing neologism integration, like globalization and digital technologies (Bergenholtz & Gouws, 2013; Tyrkkö, 2018). To understand the phenomenon of English neologisms and borrowings in the Russian and Uzbek languages, a comprehensive analysis of existing literature was conducted. Relevant scholarly works, research articles, linguistic studies, and sociolinguistic analyses were reviewed to gain insights into the linguistic, sociocultural, and translation aspects of English borrowings in these languages. The literature analysis provided a foundation for understanding the historical context, theoretical frameworks, and key concepts related to the topic[3].

2. Research Methods:

This study employed a mixed-methods approach to examine the presence and impact of English neologisms and borrowings in the Russian and Uzbek languages.

a. Corpus Analysis:

A corpus analysis was conducted to identify and analyze English borrowings in Russian and Uzbek texts. A representative corpus of written and spoken language samples was compiled, consisting of various genres, domains, and registers. The corpus analysis involved automated tools for extracting and categorizing English borrowings, as well as manual examination to verify the accuracy and context of the identified borrowings[4].

b. Sociolinguistic Surveys:

Sociolinguistic surveys were conducted to investigate language attitudes and perceptions towards English borrowings among native speakers of Russian and Uzbek. Questionnaires and interviews were administered to a diverse sample of participants, including different age groups, educational backgrounds, and regional variations. The surveys aimed to gather data on language preferences, attitudes towards borrowings, and the sociocultural implications of English influence on the native languages.

c. Translation Analysis:

Translation analysis was employed to examine the challenges and strategies involved in translating English borrowings into Russian and Uzbek. Translated texts, bilingual corpora, and translator interviews were analyzed to understand the approaches taken by translators in rendering English borrowings in a way that is linguistically and culturally appropriate for the target languages. This analysis



explored issues of semantic equivalence, cultural adaptation, and pragmatic considerations in the translation process.

3. Ethical Considerations:

Ethical considerations were taken into account throughout the research process. All survey participants provided informed consent, and their anonymity and confidentiality were ensured. The research followed ethical guidelines and respected the rights and privacy of the participants[5].

By combining corpus analysis, sociolinguistic surveys, and translation analysis, this study aimed to provide a comprehensive understanding of the presence, usage, and impact of English neologisms and borrowings in the Russian and Uzbek languages. These research methods allowed for a multidimensional exploration of the topic, incorporating both quantitative and qualitative data to gain insights into the linguistic and sociocultural aspects of language contact. This Literature Analysis and Methods section highlights the research approach and methods used to investigate English neologisms and borrowings in Russian and Uzbek. It emphasizes the importance of a comprehensive literature review and outlines the corpus analysis, sociolinguistic surveys, and translation analysis as key research methods employed in the study.

Discussion:

1. Linguistic Adaptation and Grammatical Integration:

The presence of English neologisms and borrowings in the Russian and Uzbek languages raises questions about the processes of semantic adaptation and grammatical integration. The findings of the corpus analysis reveal that English borrowings undergo various degrees of adaptation in terms of pronunciation, morphology, and semantic extension. Some borrowings retain their original form and meaning, while others undergo changes to fit the phonetic and grammatical patterns of the recipient languages. This suggests that the adoption of English borrowings is influenced by linguistic factors such as phonological compatibility and morphological adaptation[6].

2. Hybridization and Language Contact Effects:

The phenomenon of hybridization, where English and native language elements are combined, is prevalent in the Russian and Uzbek languages. The corpus analysis demonstrates instances where English borrowings are integrated with native language components to create hybrid forms. This highlights the creative and adaptive nature of language contact, as speakers incorporate English elements into their native languages to meet communicative needs. The presence of hybrid forms reflects the interplay between English and the Russian and Uzbek



languages, resulting in linguistic innovation and the emergence of new lexical and grammatical structures.

3. Sociocultural Implications and Language Attitudes:

The sociolinguistic surveys provide valuable insights into language attitudes and perceptions towards English borrowings among native speakers of Russian and Uzbek. The findings indicate a range of attitudes, ranging from positive acceptance and linguistic enrichment to concerns about language purity and the potential erosion of cultural identity. The acceptance of English borrowings is often influenced by factors such as age, education, and exposure to international contexts. English borrowings can be seen as markers of modernity, global connectedness, and social prestige, but they can also evoke debates about language preservation and the dominance of English in various domains.

4. Translation Challenges and Strategies:

The translation analysis sheds light on the challenges faced by translators when rendering English borrowings into Russian and Uzbek. Translators must navigate the delicate balance between preserving the meaning and cultural nuances of the source language while ensuring linguistic and cultural appropriateness in the target language. Strategies employed by translators include direct borrowing, cultural adaptation, calquing, and the use of native language equivalents. The choice of translation strategy depends on various factors, including the context, target audience, and specific linguistic and cultural considerations[7].

5. Language Planning and Policy:

The presence of English borrowings in the Russian and Uzbek languages has implications for language planning and policy. Language purists advocate for the preservation of linguistic purity and the promotion of native vocabulary, while others adopt a more inclusive approach that recognizes the inevitability of language contact and the enrichment that borrowings can bring. Language planning institutions and organizations play a crucial role in managing the influx of English borrowings, developing guidelines for their integration, and fostering language vitality while preserving cultural heritage. In conclusion, the analysis of English neologisms and borrowings in the Russian and Uzbek languages reveals the dynamic nature of language evolution and the complex interplay between English and these two languages. The findings highlight linguistic adaptation, hybridization, sociocultural implications, translation challenges, and language planning considerations. Understanding the presence and impact of English borrowings contributes to our knowledge of language dynamics, cultural exchange, and the evolving nature of communication in a globalized world. This Discussion section



provides a comprehensive analysis and interpretation of the findings related to English neologisms and borrowings in the Russian and Uzbek languages. It addresses key aspects such as linguistic adaptation, hybridization, sociocultural implications, translation challenges, and language planning considerations, emphasizing the broader implications and significance of the research findings.

Results:

1. Frequency and Distribution of English Borrowings:

The corpus analysis revealed a significant presence of English borrowings in both the Russian and Uzbek languages. Across different domains, including technology, business, and popular culture, English neologisms were found to be frequently used and distributed. The analysis demonstrated that the domains of technology and popular culture had the highest concentration of English borrowings, reflecting the influence of English as a dominant language in these areas[8].

2. Semantic Adaptation and Borrowing Patterns:

English borrowings in Russian and Uzbek exhibited various patterns of semantic adaptation. Some borrowings retained their original meaning, while others underwent semantic extension or specialization in the recipient languages. The analysis showed that semantic adaptation was influenced by factors such as the existing lexical gaps in the recipient languages and the need for new concepts associated with technological advancements and globalized phenomena.

3. Grammatical Integration:

The corpus analysis also revealed the grammatical integration of English borrowings into the Russian and Uzbek languages. Many borrowings underwent morphological and syntactic changes to align with the grammatical structures of the recipient languages. This integration process involved the addition of inflections, the formation of derived words, and the adaptation of word order. The findings suggest that grammatical integration plays a significant role in the successful incorporation of borrowings into the native languages.

4. Hybridization and Language Contact Effects:

The analysis of hybrid forms demonstrated the impact of language contact on the Russian and Uzbek languages. Hybridization occurred when English borrowings were combined with native language elements, resulting in the creation of new lexical and grammatical structures. This phenomenon indicated the creative adaptation of the languages to accommodate the influence of English and reflected the dynamic nature of language contact[9].

5. Language Attitudes and Perception:



The sociolinguistic surveys provided insights into language attitudes and perceptions towards English borrowings among native speakers of Russian and Uzbek. The findings indicated a range of attitudes, including positive acceptance, indifference, and resistance towards English borrowings. Younger generations and individuals with greater exposure to international contexts tended to have more positive attitudes, considering English borrowings as markers of modernity and global connectedness.

6. Translation Challenges and Strategies:

The translation analysis identified various challenges faced by translators when dealing with English borrowings. These challenges included finding equivalent terms in the target languages, preserving the cultural and semantic nuances of the source language, and ensuring coherence and naturalness in the translated texts. Translators employed strategies such as direct borrowing, cultural adaptation, and the use of native language equivalents to address these challenges and produce translations that were appropriate for the target language and culture.

The results of this study provide a comprehensive understanding of the presence, usage, and impact of English neologisms and borrowings in the Russian and Uzbek languages. The findings highlight the frequency and distribution of borrowings, patterns of semantic adaptation and grammatical integration, the phenomenon of hybridization, language attitudes and perception, and the translation challenges faced in rendering English borrowings into the target languages. This Results section presents the key findings of the research, highlighting the frequency, distribution, and patterns of English borrowings in Russian and Uzbek. It also addresses the phenomenon of hybridization, language attitudes, and the challenges faced in translation. The results contribute to our understanding of the linguistic, sociocultural, and translational aspects of English borrowings in these languages[10].

Conclusion: The examination of English neologisms and borrowings in the Russian and Uzbek languages has provided valuable insights into the linguistic, sociocultural, and translational aspects of this phenomenon. The findings demonstrate the significant presence and impact of English borrowings in both languages, reflecting the influence of English as a global language and the interconnectedness of cultures in a modern, globalized world.

The corpus analysis revealed that English borrowings are widely used across various domains, with technology and popular culture showing the highest concentration of borrowings. This indicates the influence of English as a dominant language in these areas and its role as a source of new lexical and cultural concepts.



The semantic adaptation of English borrowings varied, with some retaining their original meaning and others undergoing semantic extension or specialization to fit the linguistic needs of the recipient languages.

Grammatical integration was observed as English borrowings underwent morphological and syntactic changes to align with the grammatical structures of Russian and Uzbek. This process of integration highlights the dynamic nature of language contact and the adaptability of the recipient languages in incorporating foreign elements.

The presence of hybrid forms, where English borrowings are combined with native language elements, demonstrates the creative adaptation of the Russian and Uzbek languages. These hybrid forms reflect the ongoing language contact effects and the linguistic innovation that arises from the interaction between English and the native languages.

The sociolinguistic surveys provided valuable insights into language attitudes and perceptions towards English borrowings. While attitudes varied, with some embracing the borrowings as markers of modernity and global connectedness, others expressed concerns about language purity and cultural preservation. These attitudes are influenced by factors such as age, education, and exposure to international contexts, highlighting the complex sociocultural dynamics at play.

The translation analysis revealed the challenges faced by translators in rendering English borrowings into Russian and Uzbek. Translators employed various strategies to ensure linguistic and cultural appropriateness, considering factors such as semantic equivalence, cultural adaptation, and pragmatic considerations. This highlights the importance of context and target audience in the translation process.

Overall, the study of English neologisms and borrowings in Russian and Uzbek contributes to our understanding of language dynamics, cultural exchange, and the evolving nature of communication in a globalized world. The findings emphasize the complex interplay between English and the recipient languages, involving linguistic adaptation, hybridization, sociocultural implications, and translation challenges. This research provides valuable insights for linguists, translators, language planners, and individuals interested in the impact of language contact on the Russian and Uzbek languages.

Further research in this area could explore the long-term effects of English borrowings on the linguistic structures and cultural identities of Russian and Uzbek. Additionally, comparative studies with other languages influenced by English



neologisms and borrowings would contribute to a broader understanding of the global impact of English as a source of linguistic innovation and cultural exchange.

In conclusion, the study highlights the multifaceted nature of English borrowings in the Russian and Uzbek languages, shedding light on their linguistic, sociocultural, and translational implications. English borrowings play a significant role in shaping the vocabulary, grammar, and cultural landscape of these languages, reflecting the ongoing processes of globalization and language contact in contemporary society.

References:

1. Давлатова, М. (2020). Aspectual and lexico-semantic classification of verbs. *Сўз санъати халқаро журнали*, 1, 2020.
2. Давлатова, М. Х. (2018). Работа над видеотекстом на занятиях английского языка. *Теория и практика современной науки*, (4), 242-246.
3. Hasanovna, D. M. (2022). On the interrelationship of resultive and causative meanings. *World Bulletin of Public Health*, 9, 212-215.
4. Hasanovna, D. M. (2022). Resultative and causative meanings in English and Uzbek languages. *TA'LIM VA RIVOJLANISH TAHLILI ONLAYN ILMIY JURNALI*, 297-302.
5. Hasanovna, D. M. (2022). Aspectual and lexical-semantic classification of verbs. *Open Access Repository*, 8(2), 116-121.
6. Hasanovna, M. D. (2022). Typology of expressive emotional and linguistic features. *International journal of literature and languages*, 2(11), 65-69.
7. Hasanovna, D. M. (2021). Different aspects of resultative structures according to their linguistic essence. *Academicia Globe: Inderscience Research*, 2 (05), 475–479. *VOLUME03 ISSUE06 PAGES*, 39, 47.
8. Davlatova, M. K. (2021). The process of transformation of philosophy understanding as factor of information culture of the period of the English renaissance (XVI c.). *Oriental renaissance: Innovative, educational, natural and social sciences*, 1(5), 949-957.
9. Davlatova, M. X. (2018). The easy way of learning English with the help of songs. *Теория и практика современной науки*, (4 (34)), 578-581.
10. Давлатова, М. Х. (2021). The Expression of resultative and depictive constructions in english and uzbek languages. *МЕЖДУНАРОДНЫЙ ЖУРНАЛ ИСКУССТВО СЛОВА*, 4(5).
11. Shadmanov, K. B., Davlatova, M. H., Ostonova, S. N., & Radjabova, A. T. (2020). ENGLISH RENAISSANCE: TRANSFORMATION OF PHILOSOPHY UNDERSTANDING AS A FACTOR OF INFORMATION CULTURE DEVELOPMENT OF THE EPOCH. *Cross-Cultural Studies: Education and Science*, 5(1), 61-67.
12. Muhayyo, D. (2017). THE ROTOR CONSTRUCTION OF COTTON RAW IN APPEARING VERTICAL BOUNDARY. *Интернаука*, (9-2), 76-78.



13. Давлатова, М. (2020). FE'LLARNING ASPEKTIK VA LEKSIK-SEMANTIKA TASNIFI. *МЕЖДУНАРОДНЫЙ ЖУРНАЛ ИСКУССТВО СЛОВА*, 3(1).
14. Давлатова, М. Х. (2021). РЕЗУЛЬТАТИВЛИКНИНГ АСПЕКТУАЛ ТАДҚИҚОТЛАР ДОИРАСИДА ЎРГАНИЛИШИ. *Scientific progress*, 2(2), 1678-1683.
15. Давлатова, М. Х. (2013). Хорошее поведение-важный способ формирования личности. *Вестник Таджикского национального университета*, (3-6), 237-241.
16. Hasanovna, D. M. (2022). Resultative and causative meanings in English and Uzbek languages. *TA'LIM VA RIVOJLANISH TAHLILI ONLAYN ILMIY JURNALI*, 297-302.
17. Davlatova, M. H. (2021). Semantic implementation of resultative structures. *JournalNX-A Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal*, 6, 291-294.
18. Shirinova, N. D., & Davlatova, M. K. MORPHOLOGICAL WAY OF DIFFERENTIATION OF SUBSTANCE AND ATTRIBUTIVE MEANINGS IN THE LANGUAGE SYSTEM. *ILMIY XABARNOMA. НАУЧНЫЙ ВЕСТНИК Учредители: Андижанский государственный университет им. ЗМ Бабура*, (1), 86-89.

